

# Time-Space Trade-off for Finding the $k$ -Visibility Region of a Point in a Polygon\*

Yeganeh Bahoo<sup>†</sup>      Bahareh Banyassady<sup>‡</sup>      Prosenjit Bose<sup>§</sup>  
 Stephane Durocher<sup>†</sup>      Wolfgang Mulzer<sup>‡</sup>

## Abstract

We study the problem of  $k$ -visibility in the memory-constrained model. In this model, the input resides in a randomly accessible read-only memory of  $O(n)$  words with  $O(\log n)$  bits each. An algorithm can read and write  $O(s)$  additional words of workspace during its execution, and it writes its output to write-only memory. In a given polygon  $P$  and for a given point  $q \in P$ , we say that a point  $p$  is inside the  $k$ -visibility region of  $q$  if and only if the line segment  $pq$  intersects the boundary of  $P$  at most  $k$  times. Given a simple  $n$ -vertex polygon  $P$  stored in a read-only input array and a point  $q \in P$ , we give a time-space trade-off algorithm which reports a suitable representation of the  $k$ -visibility region for  $q$  in  $P$  in  $O(cn/s + n \log s + \min\{kn/s, n \log \log_s n\})$  expected time using  $O(s)$  words of workspace. Here  $c \leq n$  is the number of critical vertices for  $q$ , i.e., the vertices of  $P$  where the visibility region changes. We also show how to generalize this result for non-simple polygons and for sets of line segments.

## 1 Introduction

Memory constraints on mobile and distributed devices have led to an increasing concern among researchers to design algorithms that use memory efficiently. One common model to capture this notion is the *memory-constrained model* [2]. In this model, the input is provided in a randomly accessible read-only array of  $O(n)$  words with  $O(\log n)$  bits each. There is an additional read/write memory consisting of  $O(s)$  words of  $O(\log n)$  bits each, which is called the *workspace* of the algorithm. Here,  $s \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  is a parameter of the model. The output is written to a write-only array.

Suppose we are given a polygon  $P$  and a query point  $q \in P$ . We say that the point  $p \in P$  is  $k$ -visible from  $q$  if and only if the line segment  $pq$  properly intersects the boundary of  $P$  at most  $k$  times ( $p$  and  $q$  are not counted toward  $k$ ). The set of  $k$ -visible points of  $P$  from  $q$  is called the  $k$ -visibility region of  $q$  within  $P$ , and it is denoted by  $V_k(P, q)$ ; see Figure 1. Visibility problems have played and continue to play a major role in computational geometry since the dawn of the field, leading to a rich history; see [8] for an overview. While the 0-visibility region consists of one connected component, the  $k$ -visibility region may be disconnected in general. Previous work presents an algorithm for computing the  $k$ -visibility region inside the plane for a given point  $q$  in presence of a polygon  $P$  in  $O(n^2)$  time [3].

Using constant workspace, the 0-visibility region of a point  $q \in P$  can be computed in  $O(n\bar{r})$  time, where  $\bar{r}$  denotes the number of the reflex vertices of  $P$  in the output [4]. When the workspace is increased to  $O(s)$ , the running time decreases to  $O(nr/2^s + n \log^2 r)$  or  $O(nr/2^s + n \log r)$  randomized expected time, where  $s \in O(\log r)$ . Computing the 0-visibility region without workspace limitations takes  $O(n)$  time [1].

\*This work was partially supported by DFG project MU/3501-2 and by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC).

<sup>†</sup>Department of Computer Science, University of Manitoba, {bahoo, durocher}@cs.umanitoba.ca

<sup>‡</sup>Institut für Informatik, Freie Universität Berlin, {bahareh, mulzer}@inf.fu-berlin.de

<sup>§</sup>School of Computer Science, Carleton University, jit@scs.carleton.ca




Figure 1: The gray region is  $V_2(P, q)$ . The vertices  $v_1, v_2, v_3$  and  $v_4$  are critical for  $q$ . The boundary of  $P$  is partitioned into chains  $v_2v_3, v_3v_1, v_1v_4$  and  $v_4v_2$  in CCW order along the boundary.

We provide algorithms for computing the  $k$ -visibility region of a simple polygon  $P$  from  $q \in P$  using a small workspace, establishing a trade-off between running time and workspace. Unless stated otherwise, all polygons will be understood to be simple.

## 2 Preliminaries and definitions

We assume that our simple polygon  $P$  is given in a read-only array as a list of  $n$  vertices in counter-clockwise order along the boundary. This input array also contains a query point  $q \in P$ . The aim is to report a suitable representation of  $V_k(P, q)$ , using  $O(s)$  words of workspace. We assume that the vertices of  $P$  are in *weak general position*, i.e., the query point  $q$  does not lie on the line determined by any two vertices of  $P$ . Without loss of generality, assume that  $k$  is even and that  $k < n$ . If  $k$  is odd, we compute  $V_{k-1}(P, q)$ , which is equal to  $V_k(P, q)$ , and if  $k \geq n$  then  $P$  is completely  $k$ -visible. The boundary of  $V_k(P, q)$  consists of part of the boundary of  $P$  and some chords that cross the interior of  $P$  to join two points on its boundary. We denote the boundary of planar set  $U$  by  $\partial U$ .

Let  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ , and let  $r_\theta$  be the ray from  $q$  that forms an angle  $\theta$  with the positive-horizontal axis. The  $j^{\text{th}}$  edge of  $P$  that intersects  $r_\theta$ , starting from  $q$ , is denoted  $e_\theta(j)$ . Only the first  $k+1$  intersections of  $r_\theta \cap \partial P$  are  $k$ -visible from  $q$  in direction  $\theta$ . If  $r_\theta$  does not stab any vertices of the polygon, then the *edge lists*, i.e., the list of intersecting edges, of both  $r_{\theta-\varepsilon}$  and  $r_{\theta+\varepsilon}$ , for a small enough  $\varepsilon > 0$ , are the same as the edge list of  $r_\theta$ . However, if  $r_\theta$  stabs a vertex  $v$  of  $P$ , then the edge lists of  $r_{\theta-\varepsilon}$  and of  $r_{\theta+\varepsilon}$  differ, for any small  $\varepsilon > 0$ . The difference is caused by the edges incident to  $v$ . If these edges lie on opposite sides of  $r_\theta$ , then the edge list of  $r_{\theta+\varepsilon}$  can be obtained from the edge list of  $r_{\theta-\varepsilon}$  by exchanging the name of the corresponding edge. However, if both incident edges of  $v$  lie on the same side of  $r_\theta$ , then there are two edges in the edge list of either  $r_{\theta-\varepsilon}$  or  $r_{\theta+\varepsilon}$  which are not in the edge list of the other. In this case, we call  $v$  a *critical vertex*; see Figure 1. The number of critical vertices in  $P$  is denoted by  $c$ . The *angle* of a vertex  $v$  refers to the angle between the ray  $qv$  and positive-horizontal axis. A *chain* is defined as a maximal sequence of edges of  $P$  which does not contain a critical vertex, except at the beginning and at the end. Thus,  $\partial P$  is partitioned into disjoint chains; see Figure 1.

**Observation 2.1.** *Let  $C$  be a chain on  $P$ . Suppose we are given an edge  $e$  of  $C$ , and a ray  $r_\theta$ . We can find the edge  $e_\theta \in C$  which intersects  $r_\theta$  (if it exists) in  $O(|C|)$  time using  $O(1)$  workspace.*

When rotating the ray  $r_\theta$  around  $q$ , the structure of the edge list of  $r_\theta$  (i.e., the chains and their order) changes only when  $r_\theta$  stabs a critical vertex. We will see that in this case a segment of  $r_\theta$  may belong to  $\partial V_k(P, q)$ . A critical vertex  $v$  on  $r_\theta$  is counted as both  $e_\theta(j)$  and  $e_\theta(j+1)$ , if there are  $j-1$  intersecting edges with  $r_\theta$  between  $q$  and  $v$ . Obviously,  $v$  is  $k$ -visible if its position on  $r_\theta$  is not after  $e_\theta(k+1)$ . A critical vertex  $v$  is called an *end vertex* if its edges lie on the right side of  $qv$ , and it is called a *start vertex* otherwise.

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $r_\theta$  stabs a  $k$ -visible critical vertex  $v$ , then the segment on  $r_\theta$  between  $e_\theta(k+2)$  and  $e_\theta(k+3)$  (if they exist) is an edge of  $V_k(P, q)$ .*




Figure 2: For the ray  $r_\theta$  which stabs the end critical vertex  $v$ , the segment  $w$  is a *CCW* window of  $V_k(P, q)$ .




Figure 3: For the ray  $r_\theta$  which stabs the start critical vertex  $v$ , the segment  $w$  is a *CW* window of  $V_k(P, q)$ .

*Proof.* If  $v$  is an end vertex, then for small enough  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the edges  $e_\theta(k+2)$  and  $e_\theta(k+3)$  are respectively  $e_{\theta-\varepsilon}(k+2)$  and  $e_{\theta-\varepsilon}(k+3)$ , so they are not  $k$ -visible in direction  $\theta - \varepsilon$ . These edges are also  $e_{\theta+\varepsilon}(k)$  and  $e_{\theta+\varepsilon}(k+1)$ , so they are  $k$ -visible in direction  $\theta + \varepsilon$ . Hence, the segment on  $r_\theta$  between  $e_\theta(k+2)$  and  $e_\theta(k+3)$  belongs to  $\partial V_k(P, q)$ , and  $V_k(P, q)$  lies on the side of the segment which has direction  $\theta + \varepsilon$ ; see Figure 2. Similarly, if  $v$  is a start vertex, the same segment belongs to  $\partial V_k(P, q)$ ; in this case,  $V_k(P, q)$  lies on the side of the segment which has direction  $\theta - \varepsilon$ ; see Figure 3  $\square$

Lemma 2.2 leads to the following definition: for a ray  $r_\theta$  that stabs a  $k$ -visible critical vertex  $v$ , the segment between  $e_\theta(k+2)$  and  $e_\theta(k+3)$  (if they exist) is called the *window* of  $r_\theta$ . The window is *CCW* if  $V_k(P, q)$  lies to the left of  $r_\theta$ , and *CW*, otherwise; see Figures 2 and 3. Each window is identified by its two endpoints, and each endpoint is represented by a triple  $(\theta, j, \text{type})$ , where  $j$  is the index of either  $e_\theta(k+2)$  or  $e_\theta(k+3)$  in  $P$  (depending on the position of two endpoints of a window on these edges) and  $\text{type} \in \{\text{CCW}, \text{CW}\}$  specifies the *type* of the window. The set of endpoints of windows of  $V_k(P, q)$  is denoted by  $W_k(P, q)$ .

**Observation 2.3.** *The boundary of  $V_k(P, q)$  has  $O(n)$  vertices.*

*Proof.* As we explained earlier,  $\partial V_k(P, q)$  consists of part of  $\partial P$  and windows; thus, a vertex of  $V_k(P, q)$  is either a vertex of  $P$  or an endpoint of a window. Since each critical vertex causes at most one window, the number of vertices of  $V_k(P, q)$  is  $O(n)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *If there exists an algorithm  $A(P, q, k)$  in the memory-constrained model for computing  $W = W_k(P, q)$  in  $T_A(n)$  time using  $S_A(n)$  workspace, where  $n$  is the number of vertices of  $P$ , then there exists an algorithm  $A'(P, q, W)$  in the memory-constrained model that reports  $\partial V_k(P, q)$  in  $O(|W|T_A(n) + n)$  time using  $O(S_A(n))$  workspace.*

*Proof.* The algorithm  $A'$  works as follows: start from a point  $w_0 \in W$  and walk on  $\partial P$  in CCW direction until the next element  $w_1 \in W$ . If this walk is on the  $k$ -visible side of  $w_0$  (which is specified by the type of  $w_0$ ), report the visited edges of  $P$ ; otherwise, report only the windows with endpoint(s)  $w_0$  and/or  $w_1$ . Repeat this procedure until the entire boundary  $\partial P$  has been traversed. Specifically, in step  $i$  of  $A'$ , run algorithm  $A$  and find  $w_i = (\theta_i, j_i, \text{type}_i)$  which minimizes  $j_i$ , with  $j_i > j_{i-1}$  for  $i \neq 0$ . If there is more than one element which minimizes  $j_i$ , choose the one among them that minimizes  $|\theta_i - \theta_{i-1}|$  (minimizes  $\theta_i$  for  $i = 0$ ). Since the output of  $A$  is write-only, in each step  $i$  of  $A'$  we have to run  $A$  again to find  $w_i$ , requiring  $O(|W|T_A(n))$  total time. Regarding the workspace, in step  $i$  of  $A'$  we store only  $w_{i-1}$  and  $w_i$ ; however, for finding  $w_i$  we need as much workspace as  $A$  does. Thus, the workspace of  $A'$  is  $O(S_A(n))$ .  $\square$




Figure 4:  $v_{i-1}$  is an end vertex.  $e_i(5)$  is the second intersecting chain to the right of  $e_{i-1}(5)$ .

Lemma 2.4 shows that given  $W_k(P, q)$  and  $P$ , we can uniquely report  $\partial V_k(P, q)$ . This motivates us to focus on algorithms for computing  $W_k(P, q)$ . Obviously, if  $P$  has no critical vertex, then there is no window and  $\partial V_k(P, q) = \partial P$ ; thus, we assume that  $P$  has at least one critical vertex. From now on,  $e_i(j)$  denotes the  $j^{\text{th}}$  intersecting edge of the ray  $qv_i$ , where  $v_i$  is a vertex of  $P$ . However, instead of  $e_i(j)$ , it suffices to find an arbitrary edge of the chain containing  $e_i(j)$  and then apply Observation 2.1 to find  $e_i(j)$ . Therefore, we refer to any edge of the chain containing  $e_i(j)$  by  $e_i(j)$ . In the following algorithms, for any critical vertex  $v_i$ , we examine its position relative to  $e_i(k+1)$  on  $qv_i$  and, if it is  $k$ -visible, we report the segment on  $qv_i$  which is between  $e_i(k+2)$  and  $e_i(k+3)$  (if they exist). However, depending on how much workspace is available, we have different approaches for finding all  $e_i(k+1)$  and windows. Details follow in the next sections.

### 3 A constant-memory algorithm

In this section, we assume that only  $O(1)$  workspace is available. Suppose that  $v_0$  is the critical vertex with smallest angle. The algorithm starts from  $qv_0$  and finds  $e_0(k+1)$  in  $O(kn)$  time using  $O(1)$  workspace. Basically, the algorithm passes over the input  $k+1$  times, and in each pass, it finds the next intersecting edge of  $qv_0$  until the  $(k+1)^{\text{th}}$  one,  $e_0(k+1)$ . If  $v_0$  does not lie after  $e_0(k+1)$  on  $qv_0$ , in other words, if  $v_0$  is  $k$ -visible, it reports the window of  $qv_0$ . Finding the window can be done in two passes by determining the first and the second intersecting edge after  $e_0(k+1)$  on  $qv_0$ . Then, the algorithm finds the next critical vertex with smallest angle after  $v_0$ ; we call it  $v_1$ . The algorithm determines  $e_1(k+1)$ , and if  $v_1$  is  $k$ -visible, it reports the window of  $qv_1$  (if it exists). However, for  $1 \leq i$  we find  $e_i(k+1)$  in  $O(n)$  time by using  $e_{i-1}(k+1)$ . More precisely, if  $v_{i-1}$  is an end vertex, then the incident edges to  $v_{i-1}$  do not intersect  $qv_i$ ; see Figure 4. If  $v_i$  is a start vertex, then the incident edges to  $v_i$  do not intersect  $qv_{i-1}$ ; see Figure 5. Except for these edges, all the other intersecting edges of  $qv_{i-1}$  intersect  $qv_i$  in the same order, and vice versa. Hence, if  $e_i(k+1)$  intersects  $qv_{i-1}$ , then there is at most one other edge between  $e_{i-1}(k+1)$  and  $e_i(k+1)$  that intersects  $qv_{i-1}$ . Thus,  $e_i(k+1)$  can be found in at most two passes over the input. More accurately, we have found only an edge of the chain of  $e_i(k+1)$ ; applying Observation 2.1, the edge  $e_i(k+1)$  can be obtained. The algorithm repeats the above procedure until all critical vertices have been processed; see Algorithm 3.1. Since the number of critical vertices is  $c$ , and since processing each critical vertex takes  $O(n)$  time, except for  $v_0$ , which takes  $O(kn)$  time, the running time of the algorithm is  $O(kn + cn)$ , using  $O(1)$  workspace. This leads to the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.1.** *Given a simple polygon  $P$  with  $n$  vertices in a read-only array, a point  $q \in P$ , and a constant  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is an algorithm which reports  $W_k(P, q)$  in  $O(kn + cn)$  time using  $O(1)$  workspace.*




Figure 5:  $v_i$  is a start vertex.  $e_i(5)$  is the second intersecting chain to the left of  $e_{i-1}(5)$ .

---

**Algorithm 3.1:** Computing the  $k$ -visibility region using constant workspace

---

**input:** Simple polygon  $P$ , point  $q \in P$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$   
**output:** Windows of the  $k$ -visibility region of  $P$  from  $q$ ,  $W_k(P, q)$

- 1  $v_0 \leftarrow$  the critical vertex with smallest angle
- 2 Find  $e_0(k+1)$  using the  $k$ -selection algorithm
- 3  $i \leftarrow 0$
- 4 **while**  $v_i$  has not been processed before **do**
- 5     **if**  $v_i$  lies before  $e_i(k+1)$  on  $qv_i$  **then**
- 6         Find the window of  $qv_i$
- 7         **return** endpoints of the window (if it exists)
- 8      $v_{i+1} \leftarrow$  the next critical vertex with smallest angle after  $v_i$
- 9     Find  $e_{i+1}(k+1)$  using  $e_i(k+1)$
- 10     $i \leftarrow i+1$

---

## 4 Memory-constrained algorithms

In this section, we assume that  $O(s)$  workspace is available, and we show how to exploit the additional workspace to compute the  $k$ -visibility region faster. In the first algorithm we process all the vertices in contiguous batches of size  $s$  in angular order. In each iteration we find the next batch of  $s$  vertices with smallest angles, then using the intersecting edges of the last processed vertex, we construct a data structure which is used to find the windows of the batch. In the second algorithm we improve the running time by skipping the non-critical vertices. Specifically, in each iteration we find and process the next batch of  $s$  adjacent critical vertices in angular order, and similarly as the first algorithm we construct a data structure for finding the windows, which requires a more involved approach to be updated. We first state Lemma 4.1, which is implicitly mentioned in [6] (see the second paragraph in the proof of Theorem 2.1)

**Lemma 4.1.** *Given a read-only array  $A$  of size  $n$ ,  $O(s)$  additional workspace and a specific element  $x \in A$ , there is an algorithm that finds the  $s$  smallest elements in  $A$ , among those elements which are larger than  $x$ , in  $O(n)$  time.*

*Proof.* In the first step, insert the first  $2s$  elements of  $A$  which are larger than  $x$  into workspace memory (without sorting them). Select the median of the  $2s$  elements in memory in  $O(s)$  time and remove the elements which are larger than the median. In the next step, insert the next batch of  $s$  elements of  $A$  which are larger than  $x$  into memory and again find the median of the  $2s$  elements in memory and remove the elements which are larger than the median. Repeat the latter step until all the elements of  $A$  are processed. Clearly, at the end of each step, the  $s$  smallest elements of the ones which have been already processed, are in memory. Since the number of batches or steps is  $O(n/s)$ , the running time of the algorithm is  $O(n)$  and it uses only  $O(s)$  workspace.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.** *Given a read-only array  $A$  of size  $n$  and  $O(s)$  additional workspace, there is an algorithm that finds the  $k^{th}$  smallest element in  $A$  in  $O(\lceil k/s \rceil n)$  time.*

*Proof.* In the first step, apply Lemma 4.1 to find the first batch of  $s$  smallest elements in  $A$  and insert them into workspace memory in  $O(n)$  time. If  $k < s$  select the  $k^{th}$  smallest element in memory in  $O(s)$  time; otherwise, find the largest element in memory. In the next step, find the  $(k - s)^{th}$  smallest element among those which are larger than the largest element of the previous batch. Generally, in step  $i$  apply Lemma 4.1 to find the  $i^{th}$  batch of  $s$  smallest elements of  $A$  and insert them into memory. If  $k < i \cdot s$  select the  $(k - (i - 1)s)^{th}$  smallest element in memory in  $O(s)$  time; otherwise, find the largest element in memory and repeat. The element being sought is in the  $\lceil k/s \rceil^{th}$  batch of  $s$  smallest elements; therefore, we can find the  $k^{th}$  smallest element of  $A$  in  $O(\lceil k/s \rceil n)$  time using  $O(s)$  workspace.  $\square$

In addition to our algorithm in Lemma 4.2 there are several other results on the selection problem in the read-only model, some of which are included in Table 1 of [7]. There is a  $O(n \log \log_s n)$  expected time randomized algorithm for selection problem using  $O(s)$  workspace in the read-only model [5, 9]. Depending on  $k$ ,  $s$  and  $n$  we choose the latter algorithm or the algorithm of Lemma 4.2. In conclusion,  $k$ -selection in the read-only model using  $O(s)$  workspace can be done in  $O(\min\{kn/s, n \log \log_s n\})$  expected time. Next we describe how to apply Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2.

#### 4.1 Algo 1: processing all the vertices

As in the constant-memory algorithm we find the critical vertex  $v_0$  with smallest angle. We apply Lemma 4.1 to find the batch of  $s$  vertices with smallest angles after  $v_0$ , and we sort them in workspace memory. For  $qv_0$  we apply Lemma 4.2 to find  $e_0(k + 1)$ , and if  $v_0$  is  $k$ -visible we report the window (if it exists). Then we apply Lemma 4.1 to find the two batches of  $2s$  adjacent intersecting edges to the right and to the left of  $e_0(k + 1)$  on  $qv_0$ , we insert them in a balanced search tree  $T$ . In other words, in  $T$  we store all  $e_0(j)$ , for  $k + 1 - 2s \leq j \leq k + 1 + 2s$ , in sorted order according to their intersection with  $qv_0$ . These edges are candidates for the  $(k + 1)^{th}$  intersecting edge of the next  $s$  rays in angular order or  $e_i(k + 1)$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq s$ ; see Figure 6. This is because, as we explained before, if  $e_i(k + 1)$  intersects  $qv_{i-1}$  then there is at most one other edge between  $e_{i-1}(k + 1)$  and  $e_i(k + 1)$  that intersects  $qv_{i-1}$ . Therefore,  $e_i(k + 1)$  is either an intersecting edge of  $qv_0$ , and in this case there are at most  $2i - 1$  edges between  $e_0(k + 1)$  and  $e_i(k + 1)$ , or  $e_i(k + 1)$  is an edge which is inserted in  $T$  later. More specifically, after creating  $T$ , we start from the next vertex with smallest angle after  $v_0$ , called  $v_1$ , and according to the type of  $v_1$ , we update  $T$ : if  $v_1$  is a non-critical vertex we change the incident edge to  $v_1$  which is already in  $T$  with the other incident edge to  $v_1$ ; if  $v_1$  is an end (start) critical vertex, we remove (insert) the two edges which are incident to  $v_1$ . In all cases we update  $T$  only if the incident edges to  $v_1$  are in the interval of the  $2s$  intersecting edges of  $qv_0$  in  $T$ , this takes  $O(\log s)$  time. By updating  $T$  we can find  $e_1(k + 1)$  and the window of  $qv_1$  using the position of  $e_0(k + 1)$  or its neighbours in  $T$  in  $O(1)$  time. We repeat the same procedure for  $1 \leq i \leq s$  and we determine  $e_i(k + 1)$  and the window of  $qv_i$  by using  $T$  and  $e_{i-1}(k + 1)$ , which takes  $O(s \log s)$  total time.

After processing all the vertices of the first batch, we apply Lemma 4.1 to find the next batch of  $s$  vertices with smallest angle, and we sort them in workspace memory. The last updated  $T$  is not usable anymore, because it does not necessarily contain any right or left neighbours of  $e_s(k + 1)$ . Applying Lemma 4.1 as before, we find the two batches of  $2s$  adjacent intersecting edges to the right and to the left of  $e_s(k + 1)$  on  $qv_s$  and we insert them into  $T$ . Then similarly for each  $s < i \leq 2s$  we find  $e_i(k + 1)$  and its corresponding window and we update  $T$ ; see Algorithm 4.1. In overall, finding a batch of  $s$  vertices, processing them and reporting the windows takes  $O(n + s \log s)$  time; moreover, in the first batch we also run the  $k$ -selection algorithm. We repeat this procedure until all the vertices are processed, which is  $O(n/s)$  iterations. Thus, the running time of the algorithm is  $O(n/s(n + s \log s) + k\text{-selection})$ . Since the running time of  $k$ -selection is dominated, Theorem 4.3 is follows:




Figure 6: The vertices  $v_0, v_1, \dots, v_s$  are the first batch of  $s$  vertices in angular order. The edge  $e_1(3)$  is the second left neighbour of  $e_0(3)$  because  $v_1$  is a start critical vertex. The edge  $e_2(3)$  is the same as  $e_1(3)$  because  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  are respectively start and end vertices. The edge  $e_3(3)$  is the second right neighbour of  $e_2(3)$  because  $v_2$  and  $v_3$  are respectively end and non-critical vertices

**Theorem 4.3.** *Given a simple polygon  $P$  with  $n$  vertices in a read-only array, a point  $q \in P$  and a constant  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is an algorithm which reports  $W_k(P, q)$  in  $O(n^2/s + n \log s)$  time using  $O(s)$  workspace.*

---

**Algorithm 4.1:** Computing the  $k$ -visibility region using  $O(s)$  workspace, Algo 1

---

**input:** Simple polygon  $P$ , point  $q \in P$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $1 \leq s \leq n$   
**output:** Windows of the  $k$ -visibility region of  $P$  from  $q$ ,  $W_k(P, q)$

- 1  $T \leftarrow$  a BST
- 2  $H \leftarrow$  a heap
- 3  $v_0 \leftarrow$  the critical vertex with smallest angle
- 4 Find  $e_0(k+1)$  (with Lemma 4.2)
- 5  $i \leftarrow 0$
- 6 **while**  $v_i$  has not been processed before **do**
- 7      $T \leftarrow$  The batch of  $2s$  intersecting edges to the right and to the left of  $e_i(k+1)$  (with Lemma 4.1)
- 8      $H \leftarrow$  The batch of  $s$  vertices with smallest angle after  $v_i$  (with Lemma 4.1)
- 9     **for**  $j = i$  to  $i + s$  **do**
- 10         **if**  $v_j$  lies before  $e_j(k+1)$  on  $qv_j$  **then**
- 11             Find the the window of  $qv_j$
- 12             **return** endpoints of the window (if it exists)
- 13         Update  $T$  according to the type of  $v_{j+1}$
- 14         Find  $e_{j+1}(k+1)$  using  $e_j(k+1)$  and  $T$
- 15      $v_i \leftarrow v_{i+s}$

---

## 4.2 Algo 2: processing only critical vertices

In this algorithm we process critical vertices in contiguous batches of size  $s$  in angular order. This algorithm works similarly as the algorithm in Section 4.1, but it differs in constructing and updating the data structure for finding the windows. In each iteration of this algorithm we find the next batch of  $s$  critical vertices with smallest angles and sort them in workspace memory. As in the previous algorithm, we construct a data structure  $T$ , which contains the possible candidates for the  $(k+1)^{th}$  intersecting edges of the rays from  $q$  to critical vertices of the batch. In each step when we process a critical vertex of the batch, using  $T$  we find the window of the critical vertex and we update  $T$ .




Figure 7: The vertices  $v_0, v_1, \dots, v_s$  are the first batch of  $s$  critical vertices in angular order. The endpoint of the edge  $e_0(1)$  is between  $qv_1$  and  $qv_2$  and this edge should be changed in  $T$  after processing  $v_1$ . The endpoint of the edge  $e_0(4)$  is between  $qv_0$  and  $qv_1$  and this edge should be changed in  $T$  after processing  $v_0$ .

For updating  $T$  efficiently, we use another data structure, called  $T_\theta$ , see below. After processing the batch we repeat the same procedure for the next batch of  $s$  critical vertices.

In the first iteration, after computing  $v_1, \dots, v_s$ , the critical vertices with smallest angles after  $v_0$ , we find the two batches of  $2s$  adjacent intersecting edges to the right and to the left of  $e_0(k+1)$  on  $qv_0$ . We sort them and insert them in a balanced search tree  $T$ , which is done in  $O(n + s \log s)$  time. Then for each edge in  $T$  we determine the larger angle of its endpoints. This angle shows the position of the endpoint between the rays from  $q$  to the critical vertices. Specifically, if the edge is incident to a non-critical vertex, this angle determines the step in which the name of the edge in  $T$  should be updated to the other incident edge to the vertex; see Figure 7. By traversing  $\partial P$  we determine these angles for the edges in  $T$  and we insert them in a balanced search tree  $T_\theta$ , whose entries are connected through cross-pointers to their corresponding edges in  $T$ . We construct  $T_\theta$  in  $O(n + s \log s)$  time using  $O(s)$  workspace. Now for finding the  $(k+1)^{th}$  intersecting edge of  $qv_1$  we update  $T$ , so that it contains the edge list of  $qv_1$ : If there is any angle in  $T_\theta$  which is smaller than the angle of  $v_1$ , we change the corresponding edge of the angle in  $T$  with its previous or next edge in  $P$ . In other words, we have found a non-critical vertex between  $qv_0$  and  $qv_1$  and so we change its incident edge, which has been already in  $T$ , with its other incident edge. Then we find the angle of the new edge and insert it in  $T_\theta$ . These two steps take  $O(1)$  and  $O(\log s)$  time for each angle that meets the condition. By doing these steps, changes in the edge list which are caused by non-critical vertices between  $qv_0$  and  $qv_1$  are handled. Then we update  $T$  and consequently  $T_\theta$  according to the type of  $v_1$ , with the same procedure as in the previous algorithm: if  $v_1$  is an end (start) critical vertex, we remove (insert) the two edges which are incident to  $v_1$ , this can be done in  $O(\log s)$  time. Now  $T$  contains  $2s$  intersecting edges of  $qv_1$ , and we can find  $e_1(k+1)$  using the position of  $e_0(k+1)$  and its neighbours in  $T$  in  $O(1)$  time. We repeat this procedure for all critical vertices in this batch. In summary, updating  $T$  considering the changes that are caused by critical and non-critical vertices of the batch takes respectively  $O(s \log s)$  and  $O(n' \log s)$  time, where  $n'$  is the number of non-critical vertices that lie on the interval of the batch. In the next iteration of the algorithm we repeat the same procedure for the next batch of critical vertices until all critical vertices are processed; see Algorithm 4.2. Since the batches do not have any intersections, each non-critical vertex lies only on one batch. Thus, updating  $T$  in all batches takes  $O(n \log s)$  time. All together, finding the batches of  $s$  critical vertices, constructing and updating the data structures and reporting the windows take  $O(cn/s + n \log s)$  time for all the critical vertices, in addition to the running time of  $k$ -selection in the first batch. This leads to the following theorem:

**Theorem 4.4.** *Given a simple polygon  $P$  with  $n$  vertices in a read-only array, a point  $q \in P$  and a constant  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is an algorithm which reports  $W_k(P, q)$  in  $O(cn/s + n \log s + \min\{kn/s, n \log \log_s n\})$  expected time using  $O(s)$  workspace.*

---

**Algorithm 4.2:** Computing the  $k$ -visibility region using  $O(s)$  workspace, Algo 2

---

**input:** Simple polygon  $P$ , point  $q \in P$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $1 \leq s \leq n$   
**output:** Windows of the  $k$ -visibility region of  $P$  from  $q$ ,  $W_k(P, q)$

```

1  $T \leftarrow$  a BST
2  $T_\theta \leftarrow$  a BST
3  $H \leftarrow$  a heap
4  $v_0 \leftarrow$  the critical vertex with smallest angle
5 Find  $e_0(k+1)$  (with Lemma 4.2)
6  $i \leftarrow 0$ 
7 while  $v_i$  has not been processed before do
8    $T \leftarrow$  The batch of  $2s$  intersecting edges to the right and to the left of  $e_i(k+1)$  (with Lemma 4.1)
9    $T_\theta \leftarrow$  The bigger angle of the endpoints of edges in  $T$ 
10   $H \leftarrow$  The batch of  $s$  critical vertices with smallest angle after  $v_i$  (with Lemma 4.1)
11  for  $j = i$  to  $i + s$  do
12    if  $v_j$  lies before  $e_j(k+1)$  on  $qv_j$  then
13      Find the the window of  $qv_j$ 
14      return endpoints of the window (if it exists)
15    for any  $\theta \in T_\theta < \text{angle of } v_j$  do
16      Update the corresponding edge of  $\theta$  in  $T$ 
17      Update the angle of the new edge of  $T$  in  $T_\theta$ 
18    Update  $T$  according to the type of  $v_{j+1}$ 
19    Find  $e_{j+1}(k+1)$  using  $e_j(k+1)$  and  $T$ 
20   $v_i \leftarrow v_{i+s}$ 

```

---

## 5 Variants and extensions

We have proposed different algorithms for computing the  $k$ -visibility region of a point  $q$  inside a simple polygon  $P$  in the constant-memory and memory-constrained models. These algorithms report the set of windows of the  $k$ -visibility region,  $W_k(P, q)$ , which is a proper representation of  $V_k(P, q)$ . This is because, as we proved in Lemma 2.4,  $\partial V_k(P, q)$  is uniquely characterized by  $W_k(P, q)$ . However, if the edges of  $\partial V_k(P, q)$  is needed we can also apply some minor changes in the algorithms in order to report the boundary. More precisely, in the algorithm with  $O(1)$  workspace, in iteration  $i$  after finding  $e_i(k+1)$  we walk on  $\partial P$  and for each edge of  $P$  which intersects  $qv_i$  and lies before  $e_i(k+1)$  on  $qv_i$ , we report a segment of the edge which is between  $qv_i$  and  $qv_{i+1}$ . This takes  $O(n)$  time in each iteration. These segments are the whole  $k$ -visible part of  $\partial P$  between  $qv_i$  and  $qv_{i+1}$ . By reporting these segments between each two consecutive rays and also all windows,  $\partial V_k(P, q)$  is obtained. Thus, we can report  $\partial V_k(P, q)$  with the same running time as in Theorem 3.1.

In the first algorithm with  $O(s)$  workspace, in iteration  $i$  after processing the  $i^{th}$  batch of  $s$  vertices, we sort the endpoints of the windows of the batch according to the indices of the edges of  $P$  on which they lie. We know that if an endpoint of an edge is (non-)  $k$ -visible, and there is no endpoint of a window on the edge, then the whole edge is (non-)  $k$ -visible. Using this, we walk on  $\partial P$  and for each edge we check if there is any endpoint of a window on the edge or not. Also we check whether the endpoints of the edge, restricted to the interval of the batch, are  $k$ -visible or not (which can be checked in  $O(1)$  time, if we store the  $(k+1)^{th}$  intersecting edge of the rays of the batch in workspace memory, by examine the position of the endpoint on its ray). By having this information for each edge we can report its  $k$ -visible segments restricted to the interval of the batch. Regarding the running time, if we have the sorted list of the windows according to the indices of their edges on the boundary of  $P$ , while walking on  $\partial P$  we can check if there is any window on  $e_j$  in  $O(|w_j|)$

time, where  $|w_j|$  is the number of windows on  $e_j$ . Since the number of windows in a batch is  $O(s)$ , sorting the windows and reporting the  $k$ -visible segments in each batch takes  $O(n + s \log s)$  time. Thus, we can report  $\partial V_i(P, q)$  with the same running time as in Theorem 4.3. The same approach can be used for the second algorithm with  $O(s)$  workspace. The difference is that while we walk on  $\partial P$  we may encounter some edges which do not intersect any of the rays from  $q$  to critical vertices. For these edges we cannot easily check whether their endpoints are  $k$ -visible. For those edges which intersect at least one of the rays to critical vertices, we can check the  $k$ -visibility of the intersection point and determine the visibility of the whole edge. However, while walking on a chain starting from a (non-)  $k$ -visible point,  $k$ -visibility of the chain can only change at the endpoint of the chain or at the endpoint of a window. Thus, while walking on  $\partial P$  using the sorted list of windows we can find the  $k$ -visible parts of the chains. This means that we can find the  $k$ -visible parts of all edges. As before, it takes  $O(n + s \log s)$  time for each batch, so we conclude that we can report  $\partial V_k(P, q)$  with the same running time as in Theorem 4.4. The following theorem states these results:

**Theorem 5.1.** *Given a simple polygon  $P$  with  $n$  vertices in a read-only array, a point  $q \in P$  and a constant  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is an algorithm which reports  $\partial V_k(P, q)$  in  $O(cn/s + n \log s + \min\{kn/s, n \log \log_s n\})$  expected time using  $O(s)$  workspace. If  $s \in O(1)$  the running time is  $O(cn + kn)$ .*

Our results can be extended in several ways; for example, computing the  $k$ -visibility region of a point  $q$  inside a polygon  $P$ , when  $P$  is not necessarily simple, and also computing the  $k$ -visibility region of a point  $q$  in presence of a set of segments inside a bounding box in the plane. We believe that we have preliminary results to extend the presented algorithms to solve these two problems. For the first problem, when the input is a polygon with holes, similarly for each critical vertex  $v_i$ , we find  $e_i(k+1)$  in order to decide if  $v_i$  is  $k$ -visible from  $q$  or not. If  $v_i$  is  $k$ -visible, there is a window between  $e_i(k+2)$  and  $e_i(k+3)$  on  $qv_i$  (if they exist). All the other arguments in algorithm for simple polygons hold for a polygon with holes. The only remarkable issues are Lemma 2.4 and the section above for reporting  $\partial V_k(P, q)$ , in which we walk on  $\partial P$  to report the  $k$ -visible segments of  $\partial P$ . If the polygon  $P$  has holes, after walking on the outer part of  $\partial P$ , we walk on the boundary of the holes one by one and we apply the same procedures for them. We should keep in mind that the boundary of some holes may be completely  $k$ -visible or completely non- $k$ -visible. In both cases, there is no window on the boundary of these holes. For each hole with no window on it, we check that if it is  $k$ -visible and, if so, we report it completely. This leads to the following theorem:

**Theorem 5.2.** *Given a non-simple polygon  $P$  with  $n$  vertices in a read-only array, a point  $q \in P$  and a constant  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is an algorithm which reports  $W_k(P, q)$  and  $\partial V_k(P, q)$  in  $O(cn/s + n \log s + \min\{kn/s, n \log \log_s n\})$  expected time using  $O(s)$  workspace. If  $s \in O(1)$  the running time is  $O(cn + kn)$ .*

In the second problem, when the input is a set of  $n$  segments inside a bounding box in the plane, the output is the part of the segments which are  $k$ -visible. A difference in this algorithm is that the endpoints of all segments are critical vertices and we should process all of them. We can similarly show that for each ray  $qv_i$ , where  $v_i$  is a  $k$ -visible endpoint of a segment, there is a window between  $e_i(k+2)$  and  $e_i(k+3)$  on  $qv_i$  (if they exist). In the parts of the algorithm where a walk on the boundary is needed, reading the input sequentially leads to similar results. There may be some completely  $k$ -visible and some completely non- $k$ -visible segments, on which there is no endpoint of windows. Similarly for each segment with no windows on it, we check whether it is  $k$ -visible and, if so, we report it completely. This leads to the following theorem:

**Theorem 5.3.** *Given a set of  $n$  segments  $S$  in a read-only array which lie in a bounding box  $B$ , a point  $q \in B$  and a constant  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is an algorithm which reports  $W_k(S, q)$  and  $\partial V_k(P, q)$  in  $O(n^2/s + n \log s)$  time using  $O(s)$  workspace. If  $s \in O(1)$  the running time is  $O(n^2)$ .*

## 6 Conclusion

We have proposed algorithms for a class of  $k$ -visibility problems in the constrained-memory model, which provide time-space trade-offs for these problems. We leave it as an open question whether the presented algorithms are optimal. Also, it would be interesting to see whether there exists an output sensitive algorithm whose running time depends on the number of windows in the  $k$ -visibility region, instead of the critical vertices in the input polygon.

## References

- [1] Takao Asano, Tetsuo Asano, Leonidas Guibas, John Hershberger, and Hiroshi Imai. Visibility of disjoint polygons. *Algorithmica*, 1(1-4):49–63, 1986.
- [2] Tetsuo Asano, Kevin Buchin, Maike Buchin, Matias Korman, Wolfgang Mulzer, Günter Rote, and André Schulz. Memory-constrained algorithms for simple polygons. *Computational Geometry*, 46(8):959–969, 2013.
- [3] António Leslie Bajuelos, Santiago Canales, Gregorio Hernández-Peñalver, and Ana Mafalda Martins. A hybrid metaheuristic strategy for covering with wireless devices. *J. UCS*, 18(14):1906–1932, 2012.
- [4] Luis Barba, Matias Korman, Stefan Langerman, and Rodrigo I Silveira. Computing a visibility polygon using few variables. *Computational Geometry*, 47(9):918–926, 2014.
- [5] Timothy M Chan. Comparison-based time-space lower bounds for selection. *ACM Transactions on Algorithms (TALG)*, 6(2):26, 2010.
- [6] Timothy M Chan and Eric Y Chen. Multi-pass geometric algorithms. *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, 37(1):79–102, 2007.
- [7] Timothy M Chan, J Ian Munro, and Venkatesh Raman. Selection and sorting in the restore model. In *Proceedings of the 25th Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms*, pages 995–1004. SIAM, 2014.
- [8] Subir Kumar Ghosh. *Visibility algorithms in the plane*. Cambridge University Press, 2007.
- [9] J Ian Munro and Venkatesh Raman. Selection from read-only memory and sorting with minimum data movement. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 165(2):311–323, 1996.